DOI: 10.1111/cge.13593

REVIEW



Advances in the gene therapy of monogenic blood cell diseases

Juan A. Bueren^{1,2} | Oscar Quintana-Bustamante^{1,2} | Elena Almarza^{1,2} | Susana Navarro^{1,2} | Paula Río^{1,2} | José C. Segovia^{1,2} | Guillermo Guenechea^{1,2}

¹Division of Hematopoietic Innovative Therapies, Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas, Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain

²Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Enfermedades Raras (CIBERER), Instituto de Investigaciones Sanitarias Fundación Jiménez Díaz (IIS-FJD), Madrid, Spain

Correspondence

Juan A. Bueren, Division of Hematopoietic Innovative Therapies, CIEMAT, Av. Complutense 40, Madrid 28040, Spain. Email: juan.bueren@ciemat.es

Funding information

7th Framework Program, Grant/Award Number: HEALTH-F5-2012-305421; Fondo de Investigaciones Sanitarias, Grant/Award Numbers: RD12/0019/0023, RD16/0011/0011; RETOS, Grant/Award Numbers: SAF2015-68073-R, SAF2017-84248-P, SAF2017-86749-R; Ministerio de Sanidad, Servicios Sociales e Igualdad, Grant/Award Numbers: EC11/060, EC11/550

Peer Review

The peer review history for this article is available at https://publons.com/publon/10. 1111/cge.13593/

Abstract

Hematopoietic gene therapy has markedly progressed during the last 15 years both in terms of safety and efficacy. While a number of serious adverse events (SAE) were initially generated as a consequence of genotoxic insertions of gamma-retroviral vectors in the cell genome, no SAEs and excellent outcomes have been reported in patients infused with autologous hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) transduced with self-inactivated lentiviral and gammaretroviral vectors. Advances in the field of HSC gene therapy have extended the number of monogenic diseases that can be treated with these approaches. Nowadays, evidence of clinical efficacy has been shown not only in primary immunodeficiencies, but also in other hematopoietic diseases, including beta-thalassemia and sickle cell anemia. In addition to the rapid progression of non-targeted gene therapies in the clinic, new approaches based on gene editing have been developed thanks to the discovery of designed nucleases and improved non-integrative vectors, which have markedly increased the efficacy and specificity of gene targeting to levels compatible with its clinical application. Based on advances achieved in the field of gene therapy, it can be envisaged that these therapies will soon be part of the therapeutic approaches used to treat life-threatening diseases of the hematopoietic system.

KEYWORDS

gene therapy, gene editing, hematopoietic stem cells, inherited diseases

1 | INTRODUCTION

While transplantation of allogeneic hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) from healthy donors constitutes the standard therapy for patients with inherited hematopoietic diseases, the proportion of patients with HLA compatible donors is limited. Additionally, significant side effects mainly related to graft vs host disease (GVHD), infections and graft failure are associated to this therapeutic intervention. Due to these limitations, and because of advances in the development of gene therapy, the genetic correction of autologous HSCs is becoming an alternative therapeutic option to allogeneic transplantation in inherited hematopoietic diseases.

Based on the self-renewing and multi-potent properties of primitive HSCs, these rare bone marrow (BM) cells were considered an © 2019 John Wiley & Sons A/S. Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd

ideal target to correct genetic defects characteristic of inherited hematopoietic diseases. HSCs are responsible for the long-term generation of peripheral blood (PB) T- and B-lymphocytes, natural killer cells, monocytes, granulocytes, eosinophils, basophils, macrophages, erythrocytes and platelets.¹ Therefore, any monogenic disease associated with defects in blood cells could be potentially treated by means of the genetic correction of the HSCs.

Since the in vivo transduction of HSCs is a very inefficient process, current hematopoietic gene therapies are based on the collection and the ex vivo transduction of autologous HSCs with therapeutic vectors. Corrected cells are then reinfused into the patient, in most instances after sub-myeloablative or myeloablative conditioning to facilitate the engraftment of corrected cells. While

current trials of HSC gene therapy are based on non-targeted approaches with self-inactivated gamma-retroviral (RV) and lentiviral (LV) vectors, new approaches use more precise strategies based on gene editing (see schematic approaches of HSC gene therapy in Figure 1).

Despite the clinical efficacy of the first gene therapy trials conducted in patients with X-linked severe common immunodeficiency (SCID-X1)²⁻⁴ and ADA-SCID,^{5,6} and later on in chronic granulomatous disease (CGD)⁷ and Wiscott-Aldrich (WAS) patients,⁸ risks associated to the use of gamma-retroviral vectors (RVs) were observed in several patients whose HSCs were transduced with these vectors.⁷⁻¹³ Nevertheless, the generation of self-inactivated lentiviral (SIN-LV) and gamma-retroviral vectors (SIN-RVs) has had an enormous impact on the clinical development of gene therapy since in addition to their clinical efficacy, no serious adverse events (SAEs) have been reported in patients treated with these new vectors.

Advances in the gene therapy of primary immunodeficiencies, β -hemoglobinopathies and bone marrow failure (BMF) syndromes will be described in this review. Additionally, the evolvement of HSC gene editing will be discussed due to its rapid progression.

2 | GENE THERAPY IN PRIMARY IMMUNODEFICIENCIES

Primary immunodeficiencies (PIDs) are a heterogeneous group of rare diseases associated with defects either in the number or the function of cells of the immune system. PIDs comprise a group of more than 300 genetic defects affecting the most important system responsible for protection against infections and cancer.^{14,15} Depending on the specific PID, their incidence varies enormously.¹⁶ Additionally, since the proper functioning of the immune system is required from the first weeks of life, PIDs frequently become life-threatening diseases that appear early in childhood. Clinical symptoms are heterogeneous, and

are generally associated with a high rate of infections and even mortality. The only curative treatment for PIDs, besides ADA-SCID for which enzyme replacement has proven to be partially effective,¹⁷ is hematopoietic stem cell transplantion (HSCT).¹⁸ Outcomes of HSCT for PIDs have markedly improved after the first transplants were performed in WAS¹⁹ and SCID²⁰ patients. Nevertheless, the possibility of finding a matched donor for patients with a severe PID is limited, in many instances due to the necessity of performing the transplant during the first months of life. Gene therapy was thus considered a good alternative for many of these patients, which led to a longer life expectancy of PID patients.¹⁵

Clinical trials in patients with SCID-X1^{2-4,21} and ADA-SCID^{5,6} clearly showed the benefit of gene therapy in PIDs. In both cases, RVs were used to facilitate the insertion of the therapeutic gene in patient HSCs. Unfortunately, 2 years after the initiation of the SCID-X1 trials SAEs consisting of lymphocytic leukemias were first observed in two patients due to insertional oncogenesis events. In both cases, RV integrations in the proximity of the *LMO2* proto-oncogene promoted the transactivation of this gene through the LTR (long terminal repeat) enhancer of the RV provirus.^{9,10} Similar SAEs were then observed in other X1-SCID patients^{3,4,11,12} and also in X-CGD^{7,13} and WAS patients²² (Table 1).

In contrast to the first ADA-SCID trials, in which no conditioning was used and where PEG-ADA was not stopped at the time of the infusion of tranduced CD34⁺ cells,³⁴ in subsequent trials a moderate conditioning was used, and PEG-ADA was suspended prior to gene therapy. ^{6,25,27} These modifications markedly improved the efficacy of the therapeutic approach. Moreover, in contrast to observations in other PIDs, in none of the ADA-SCID-treated patients have SAEs been reported (Table 1), even though integration hotspots in different proto-oncogenes were identified. ^{5,6,25,27,34,35} Due to the similarities of RV backbones used in the ADA-SCID trial and in other trials where insertional oncogenesis events were generated, differences in either the therapeutic transgene or most probably in the nature of the



FIGURE 1 Gene therapy approaches for the treatment of monogenic blood cell diseases. Ex vivo gene therapy approaches are based on the collection patient's hematopoietic stem cells, followed by their genetic correction (either targeted or untargeted) and reinfusion of corrected cells in the patient. In vivo gene therapy approaches are based on the direct inoculation of viral or non-viral vectors in the patient, aiming the in situ genetic correction of affected cells [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

| Disease | Vector | Vector promoter | Conditioning | Number of treated patients | Clinical efficacy | SAEs | Alive [§] | Reported follow-up (months) | References |
|----------|--------|---------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|----------------------|------|--------------------|--------------------------------|------------|
| X1-SCID | RV | LTR (MLV) | No | 10 | 9 | 4 | 9 | 60-99 | 2,9-11,21 |
| | RV | LTR (MLV) | No | 10 | 9 | 1 | 10 | 54-107 | 3,4,12 |
| | SIN-RV | EF1α | No | 9 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 12-39 | 23 |
| | SIN-LV | EF1α | RIC | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 6-30 | 24 |
| ADA-SCID | RV | LTR (MLV) | RIC | 18 | 15 | 0 | 18 | 28-161 | 5,6,25 |
| | RV | RV LTR (MLV) | RIC | 10 | 9 | 0 | 10 | 33-84 | 26 |
| | RV | RV LTR (MLV) | RIC | 6 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 24-84 | 27 |
| | SIN-LV | EF1α | RIC | 20 | 18 | 0 | 20 | 17-54 | 28 |
| WAS | RV | RV LTR (MLV) | FC | 10 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 25-81 | 8,22 |
| | SIN-LV | WAS | FC | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 20-33 | 29 |
| | SIN-LV | WAS | FC | 7 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 9-42 | 30 |
| CGD | RV | RV LTR (SFFV) | FC | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 26-45 | 7,13 |
| | RV | RV LTR (MLV) | FC | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 36 | 31 |
| | SIN-LV | Chimeric myeloid promoter | FC | 7 | 7 | 0 | 7 | ND | 32,33 |

TABLE 1 Summary of gene therapy trials carried out with gamma-retroviral vectors (RV) and with self-inactivated lentiviral (SIN-LV) and gamma retroviral vectors (SIN-RV) trials in patients with primary immunodeficiencies

disease should account for the safety associated to ADA-SCID gene therapy. After the observation of the first SAEs in a number of PID patients, the gene therapy field rapidly progressed thanks to the development of safer therapeutic vectors. The generation of selfinactivated lentiviral vectors (SIN-LV)^{36,37} and SIN-RV^{38,39} soon showed the relevance of these new viral vectors. The safety of SIN-LVs was shown to be a consequence of both the inactivation of the enhancer activity of the HIV-1 LTRs—which dramatically reduced the transactivation potential of the provirus—and also of the integration properties of LVs, which in contrast to RVs do not preferentially target the transcription start sites. The possibility of using internal promoters facilitating the selective expression of the transgene in specific hematopoietic lineages constituted additional advantages of these new vectors over the first generation of RVs.⁴⁰⁻⁴³

SIN vectors, mainly SIN-LVs, rapidly became the preferred vectors for the treatment of PIDs. In the case of ADA-SCID and X1-SCID, the EF1 α promoter was selected to drive expression of therapeutic transgenes.^{24,44-46} In the case of X-CGD, a chimeric promoter consisting of the fusion of regulatory sequences of the c-fes and Cathepsin G genes was used to promote a preferential expression of gp91 in myeloid cells.⁴⁷ In the WAS trial,²⁹ the selected promoter included the regulatory region of the WAS promoter aiming at driving a physiological expression of WASP in PB cells^{48,49} (see Table 1).

Thanks to the development of SIN-LV and SIN-RV, more than 100 patients with PIDs have been treated with these new vectors. Strikingly, no SAEs and excellent clinical outputs have been observed in these patients, suggesting that gene therapy will soon constitute a therapeutic alternative to HSCT for patients with PIDs.⁵⁰

Advances in hematopoietic gene therapy have encouraged the development of new studies in other PIDs. This is the case of the leukocyte adhesion deficiency (LAD), which is a group of syndromes affecting leukocyte trafficking. Among them, LAD type I (LAD-I) is the most prevalent, affecting 1/1 000 000 births.⁵¹ LAD-I is an autosomal recessive PID characterized by deficient $\beta 2$ integrins expression.⁵² These membrane glycoproteins are $\alpha\beta$ heterodimers in which four different α subunits (CD11A, B, C and D proteins) dimerize with a common β subunit (CD18, encoded by the *ITGB2* gene). CD18 expression is thus required for normal leukocyte trafficking to infection sites. Therefore, the characteristic clinical feature of LAD-I patients is the increased number of infections that cannot be properly resolved. Two main phenotypes have been described in LAD-I. The severe phenotype, with less than 2% CD18⁺ leukocytes in PB, is associated with life-threatening infections from the first days of life.⁵²⁻⁵⁵ Patients with 2% to 30% of CD18⁺ leukocytes have less severe clinical symptoms, including lower frequency of infections and a longer life expectancy.⁵¹⁻⁵⁴ As in other PIDs, the only curative treatment for these patients is HSCT from matched donors. Gene therapy thus appears as a very good alternative, mainly for severe LAD-I patients requiring an urgent cure very early in life. A first attempt to treat LAD-I patients by gene therapy used gibbon ape leukemia virus (GALV)-pseudotyped RVs. A very low and transient engraftment of corrected cells was observed in this trial, probably due to the absence of patient's conditioning⁵⁶ and to the fact that corrected LAD-I progenitor cells do not develop proliferative advantage. Recent experimental data⁵⁷ have raised expectations for gene therapy in these patients. Our studies showed the efficacy and safety of a gene therapy approach in an LAD-I mouse model using a SIN-LV in which a chimeric internal promoter⁴⁷-already used in the gene therapy of X-CGD patients⁵⁸drives the expression of CD18. The Chim.hCD18-LV conferred phenotypic correction in mouse LAD-I leukocytes, which then expressed the heterodimer in their membrane and migrated to inflamed sites.⁵⁷

WILEY_

Based on these experimental results it is expected that LAD-I will be added to the list of PIDs successfully treated by gene therapy.

3 | GENE THERAPY IN RED BLOOD CELL DISORDERS

Inherited red blood cell (RBC) disorders constitute a second and important group of inherited hematopoietic disorders that have been treated by gene therapy. This group includes hemoglobinopathies such as β -thalassemia (β -thal) and sickle cell disease (SCD), erythroid metabolic diseases like glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) and pyruvate kinase deficiency (PKD), and erythroid membrane disorders like congenital dyserythropoietic anemia (CDA). Common symptoms include anemia and concomitant complications including jaundice, iron overload, extra-medullar hematopoiesis and gallstones, among others.

Hemoglobinopathies constitute the most prevalent RBC disorders. Approximately 5% of the world population carries a hemoglobin (Hb) alteration.⁵⁹ The incidence of hemoglobinopathies is even more frequent in areas where malaria is present because these pathologies, together with other RBC disorders such as PKD, confer resistance to the parasite infection.^{60,61}

RBC disorders are caused by mutations in specific genes most of which have already been identified and cloned. These diseases can be cured by allogeneic HSCT, suggesting they are good candidates for hematopoietic gene therapy. As gene-corrected HSPCs from patients with RBC disorders do not develop advantage over diseased ones, full hematopoietic conditioning is required to eliminate endogenous HSCs, and to facilitate the engraftment of genetically corrected cells.^{62,63}

In the case of hemoglobinopathies, protein levels of 10% to 30% are required to compensate the diseased phenotype of affected RBCs.⁶⁴ Additionally, the expression of globin proteins is tightly regulated and restricted to the erythroid lineage. Therefore, lineage-specific promoters were required in LVs designed for the treatment of hemoglobinopathies. Examples of these erythroid specific vectors are the BGI,⁶⁵ TNS9,⁶⁶ HPV569,⁶⁷ GLOBE,⁶⁸ and BB305⁶⁹ vectors.

After early gene therapy attempts to treat β -thal patients with RVs, safer SIN-LV with erythroid-specific promoters based on the globin locus control regions, were developed. These vectors showed their efficacy and safety in mouse models. A clinical trial was then developed in France with the BGI LV, showing clinical efficacy in β -thal patients.⁶⁵ A benign clone expansion was transiently observed due to the integration of the viral vector in the regulatory region of the *HGMA2* gene. Thereafter, the proportion of this clone in circulating nucleated cells declined to less than 10%,⁶⁵ while the patient remained with stable levels of the therapeutic hemoglobin and only required occasional transfusions.⁷⁰ Two other clinical trials have been conducted in the United States, Australia, France and Thailand using the BB305 vector. Most (92%) of the non- $\beta^0\beta^0$ patients remained transfusion-independent after a median follow up of 26 months. In the long-term, good although variable levels of gene correction were

observed.⁶⁹ More severe $\beta^0 \beta^0$ and severe $\beta^+ \beta^+$ phenotypes showed a 73% reduction in annualized transfusion requirements. Importantly, vector integration studies showed a polyclonal reconstitution with no specific clonal dominance that could reflect a leukemic process due to insertional mutagenesis, thus revealing the safety of these gene therapy approaches⁶⁹ (Table 2).

A more recent trial was developed in Italy using the GLOBE LV. In this study, HSPCs were collected from PB after mobilization with G-CSF and plerixafor (an inhibitor of CXCR4/SDF1 chemokine signaling) and were infused intra-bone in patients treated with myeloablative conditioning with treosulfan plus thiotepa. This study showed rapid hematopoietic recovery with polyclonal multilineage engraftment of corrected cells, and a significant reduction and even discontinuation in the transfusion requirements.⁷²

SCD is caused by the sickle mutation in the β -globin gene, which induces the polymerization of hemoglobin tetramers upon deoxygenation. These polymers generate the characteristic sickle shape of ervthrocytes inducing SCD symptoms, such as hemolytic anemia and stroke.⁸⁰ As observed in β -thal, preclinical studies showed that LVbased gene therapy could be a therapeutic option for SCD. Vectors used for SCD were similar to those used for β -thal, although expressed anti-sickling globins, such as fetal γ -globin,⁸¹ β^{T87Q82} or βAS3⁸³ mutants which inhibit deoxy-hemoglobin S (deoxy-HbS) polymerization. Clinical studies in SCD patients showed that the infusion of HSCs previously corrected with the BB305 vector expressing the β^{T87Q} anti-sickling globin resulted in transfusion independency for up to 2 years.⁷⁴ Multicenter studies have shown more difficulties in the development of efficient gene therapies in SCD patients, probably due to lower transduction efficiencies and poorer engraftment of transduced progenitors. Attempts to increase the expression of the fetal γ -globin gene have been conducted, either by overexpressing the γ -globin cDNA⁷⁰ or by inhibiting the expression of BCL11A, consequently activating the expression of the fetal γ -globin⁸⁴ (Table 2).

The second family of RBC disorders in which gene therapy has been used in preclinical models includes erythroid metabolic disorders—such as PKD (where the glycolysis energetic pathway is affected)—and erythropoietic protoporphyria (EPP), which affects *heme* metabolism.⁸⁵

Pyruvate kinase (PK) is the metabolic enzyme that catalyzes the last step of glycolysis. Defective PK activity thus impairs cell metabolism in RBCs. Mutations in *PKLR*^{86,87} cause pyruvate kinase deficiency (PKD), which constitutes the most frequent glycolytic enzymopathy. The prevalence of PKD has been estimated at 1 to 9 cases per 100 000 people in the Caucasian population (https://www.orpha.net/ consor/cgi-bin/OC_Exp.php?Expert=766). As in other RBC disorders, the main clinical symptom of PKD is hemolytic anemia of variable severity. Jaundice, cholelithiasis, splenomegaly, variable degrees of iron overload and reticulocytosis are additional complications caused by the disease. The mainstay of treatment consists of blood transfusions and iron chelation therapy. In severe cases, splenectomy may be required. However, all of these treatments are only palliative.⁸⁸

Our laboratory showed the efficacy of RVs in correcting the disease in a PKD mouse model, and showed that human RPK expression

| References | 65,66 | 69,71 | 72,73 | 74,75 | 76 | 77 | 78,79 | |
|-------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| Reported FU (months) | 8γ | 36 mo | 12 mo | 15 mo | 6 mo | 12 mo | 78 d | |
| Alive ^c | 4 | 22 | 6 | 12 | 7 | 7 | 4 | |
| SAEs | 4 ^b | 22 ^b | ٥ | 1 ^b | Дþ | 5 | 1 ^b (pending data from the other three) | |
| Efficacy | 1 | 20 ^a | v | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 (pending data from the other three) | |
| Number | 4 | 22 | 0 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 4 | |
| Conditioning | Busulfan: 8 mg/kg | Busulfan: 12.8 mg/kg, pk-adjusted | Treosulfan 42 g/m² + Thiotepa 8 mg/kg | Busulfan: 12.8 mg/kg, pk-adjusted | Busulfan: 12.8 mg/kg, pk-adjusted | Melphalan: 140 mg/m ² | Busulfan: 12.8 mg/kg, pk-adjusted | ^{187Q} hemoglobin total hemoglobin levels. |
| Gene | β-Globin | β ^{A-T87Q} globin | β-globin | β ^{A-T87Q} globin | βAS3 globin | γ -globin | BCL11A shRNAmir | $n > 2 g/dL of \beta^{A^{-1}}$ |
| Promoter | Human β-globin promoter and arrayed erythroid-regulatory elements | Human β-globin locus control region | Minimal promoter/enhancer element containing two hypersensitive sites from the β-globin locus control region | Human β-globin locus control region | Human β-globin locus control region | Human β-globin locus control region | RNA polymerase (pol) III | ive with sustained productic |
| Vector | TNS9.3.55 | BB3025 | GLOBE | BB305 | βAS3-FB | mLARβΔγV5 | LCR-shRNAmir | transfusion free, fi |
| Disease | β-Thalassemia | | | Sickle Cell Disease | | | | ^a Fifteen patients t |

^bRelated to myeloablative conditioning. ^cPatients alive at the end of the follow-up period.

 TABLE 2
 Active gene therapy trials in patients with RBC syndromes

5

was capable of fully correcting the PKD phenotype when more than 25% genetically corrected cells were transplanted.⁸⁹ A similar therapeutic threshold of corrected cells was reported in one PKD Basenji dog infused with foamy vector-corrected HSCs.⁹⁰ More recently, A therapeutic and clinically applicable LV that was as effective as the RV in curing the disease in PKD mice, which was generated in our laboratory, and showed a safe viral integration profile in mouse hematopoiesis.⁹¹

Erythropoietic protoporphyria (EPP) is an autosomal recessive disorder of the porphyrin metabolism caused by a decrease in the activity of ferrochelatase. This defect results in the accumulation of toxic PP in erythrocytes and liver, causing severe skin photosensitivity. The possibility of treating EPP by cell therapy was described in a mouse model of EPP (*Fech*^{m1pas/+}).⁹²⁻⁹⁴ These authors reported a successful gene therapy treatment for EPP using an erythroid-specific SIN-LV, carrying the ferrochelatase cDNA under the control of the ankyrin-1 promoter linked to a mutated form of the *NF-E2/AP1* sequence motif of the *HS40* element,⁹⁵ although no clinical trials have been attempted so far in this disease.

4 | GENE THERAPY IN INHERITED BONE MARROW FAILURE SYNDROMES

Inherited bone marrow failure syndromes (IBMFS) comprise a wide range of diseases in which mutations in more than 80 different genes have been reported. IBMFS include Fanconi anemia (FA), dyskeratosis congenita (DC), Diamond-Blackfan anemia (DBA), Shwachman-Diamond (SD), severe congenital neutropenia (SCN) and congenital amegakaryocytic thrombocytopenia (CAT), all of which are associated with deficiencies in the production of blood cells.⁹⁶

IBMFS are complex diseases with overlapping clinical manifestations, with BMF being a common feature and the main cause of mortality. IBMFS are produced as a consequence of mutations in genes involved in important biological functions, such as DNA repair, ribosome biogenesis or maintenance of the telomere length. As with PIDs, HSCT currently constitutes the only curative treatment of the BMF characteristic of these disorders.⁹⁷ Nevertheless, the difficulty in finding HLA-compatible donors, together with risks associated with pretransplant conditioning regimens and GVHD constitute the main limitations of HSCT in IBMFS.

Fanconi anemia (FA) is the most frequent IBMFS. Mutations in any of the 22 FA genes so far discovered account for the disease, with FANCA being the most frequently mutated FA gene (around 65% of FA patients worldwide have mutations in this gene).⁹⁸

All FA proteins cooperate in a common pathway involved in the detection and repair of DNA inter-strand cross-links. The disruption of this key pathway leads to congenital abnormalities, BMF and cancer predisposition.⁹⁹ The inclusion of fludarabine (a potent immuno-suppressive drug that does not cause DNA cross-linking) in conditioning regimens of FA patients markedly improved the outcome of transplanted patients.^{100,101} Nevertheless, HSCT in FA still leads to side effects, such as increased incidence of squamous cell carcinomas,

probably due to the use of genotoxic conditioning regimens and ${\rm GVHD}^{102\text{-}104}.$

Gene therapy was thus considered a good alternative to HSCT for FA patients. However, difficulties in the development of FA gene therapy were derived from the low number of HSCs in these patients.¹⁰⁵ which limited the collection of clinically relevant numbers of HSCs either from the BM106 or from G-CSF-mobilized PB107,108 from these patients. Despite these difficulties, the observation of hematological improvements in FA mosaic patients suggested that the correction of a low number of HSCs could be sufficient to restore the hematopoiesis of these patients.¹⁰⁹⁻¹¹¹ This phenomenon was considered a natural gene therapy process, which has been recently be reproduced by ex vivo gene therapy in Fanconi anemia subtype A (FA-A) CD34⁺ cells transplanted into immunodeficient mice.¹¹² Although initial studies showed the efficiency of RVs to correct the phenotype of FA mouse HSCs and FA human hematopoietic progenitor cells (HPCs),¹¹³⁻¹¹⁷ previous FA gene therapy trials with RVs failed to show the engraftment of corrected HSCs.^{106,118,119} Different aspects may have limited the success of previous FA gene therapy trials in the clinic, including the low number of infused HSCs, the prolonged incubation period used to transduce these cells, or the absence of patient conditioning (see review in Reference 120).

The 24 hours-transduction of G-CSF/plerixafor mobilized FA-A CD34⁺ cells under conditions that minimized oxidative and TNF α -induced damage facilitated the engraftment of corrected hematopoietic cells in immunodeficient mice.¹¹² Importantly, these cells showed a marked proliferative advantage over time, showing the feasibility of preserving the engraftment capacity of FA HSCs after gene therapy, which suggests that a similar proliferative advantage could take place in FA patients. Recently, two different trials using similar FANCA LVs have started in the United States and in Spain (see review in Reference 121, with preliminary results showing engraftment of corrected HSCs in the Spanish trial.¹²²

Diamond-Blackfan anemia (DBA) is another rare congenital IBMFS that is clinically and also genetically very heterogeneous.¹²³ Approximately 55% of patients with DBA are associated with sporadic mutations in DBA genes.¹²⁴ In most instances, autosomal dominant mutations with incomplete penetrance have been characterized. So far, these mutations have been found in 20 out of the 80 genes encoding for human ribosomal proteins (RP). Mutations in RPS19¹²⁵ are observed in 25% of DBA patients. Data from the EuroDBA consortium showed that more than 90% of DBA patients are associated with mutations occurring in six DBA genes (RPS19, RPL5, RPS26, RPL11, RPL35A and RPS24).¹²⁶ In addition to mutations in RP genes, two non-RP genes have recently been reported in DBA patients: GATA1 and TSR2.¹²⁷⁻¹³⁰ While GATA1 belongs to a family of transcription factors with an important role in the development of RBCs and platelets, TSR2 codifies a protein involved in 20S pre-rRNA processing.

Allogeneic HSCT represents the only curative treatment for DBA patients. Nevertheless, side effects such as graft failure and GVHD limit the efficacy of HSCT in these patients. As reported in FA, the observation of mosaic DBA patients suggests the proliferative

advantage of reverted HSCs,^{131,132} reinforcing the idea that gene therapy should constitute a relevant therapeutic strategy in DBA.

Previous experimental studies have shown that both RVs and LVs can correct the characteristic phenotype of DBA cells. In this respect, Hamaguchi *et al* showed proliferative defects in erythroid progenitors from patients with DBA and also that these defects could be ameliorated after complementation with RPS19-LVs.¹³³ Thereafter, the same group showed that the RV-mediated correction of CD34⁺ cells from DBA patients favored the erythro-differentiation of these cells, and reported their repopulating ability in immunodeficient mice.¹³⁴

Gene therapy studies conducted in a conditional *RPS19* knockdown mouse model with SIN-LVs showed that the ectopic expression of *RPS19* prevented the lethal BMF characteristic of these animals.¹³⁵ Because these studies used LVs harboring the strong SFFV promoter, subsequent studies were carried out with a more clinically relevant EFS α -RPS19 LV.¹³⁶ Based on preclinical gene therapy studies already conducted it is expected that gene therapy trials in patients with DBA will be developed in coming years.

Dyskeratosis congenita (DC) constitutes another IBMFS associated with mutations in any of the 15 genes related with the maintenance of telomere length.¹³⁷⁻¹⁴⁰ Three inherited forms of the disease have been described: X-linked, autosomal dominant and autosomal recessive. The main manifestations of the disease are ungual dystrophy, leukoplakia, cutaneous hyperpigmentation, as well as BMF, which appears in 80% of DC patients before the age of 30.^{141,142} In addition, patients can develop immunodeficiency, pulmonary fibrosis, kidney or liver failure and predisposition to develop myelodysplastic syndrome, acute myeloid leukemia and squamous cell carcinoma.¹⁴¹ X-linked dyskeratosis congenita (X-DC) is one of the major variants of DC, and is caused by mutations in *DKC1*.¹⁴³ This gene encodes for dyskerin, a component of the telomerase complex.^{144,145} In cells derived from patients with X-DC, telomerase activity is compromised as a consequence of the defect in dyskerin.¹⁴⁴

Although allogeneic HSCT remains the only curative option for BMF of DC patients, the survival rate associated with the transplantation of these patients is still modest.^{146,147} The development of safe and effective gene therapy approaches would thus prevent the main complications associated with allogeneic transplantation.

In vivo gene therapy studies aiming at the reversion of the BMF of *Trf*- and *Tert*-deficient mice have used adeno-associated viral vectors (AAV9) carrying a healthy copy of *Tert*.¹⁴⁸ Although AAVs can remain for long periods of time as episomal concatemers in nondividing cells, in dividing cells AAV DNA is progressively diluted. Strikingly, in the study of Bar *et al*, BM cells expressed Tert up to 8 months after administration of the AAV.¹⁴⁸ Nevertheless, as total BM cells were used for assessing Tert expression, the possibility that this expression derive from non-dividing BM stromal cells has to be considered. In both models, a significant improvement of blood cell counts and elongation of telomere length were observed in PB and BM cells. The proof-of-concept provided by these studies suggested that AAV9 gene therapy approaches facilitating the expression of *Tert* might have a potential therapeutic effect for the BMF of DC patients. Nevertheless, safety issues related to the unregulated expression of TERT should be carefully considered before this therapeutic approach could be developed in the clinic.

Since X-DC patients with mutations in DKC1 represent approximately 25% of DC patients, the complementation of this gene constitutes a relevant gene therapy approach in DC. However, different studies have shown that transfection of X-DC cells with DKC1-vectors does not correct the phenotype of these cells.¹⁴⁹⁻¹⁵¹ indicating that conventional gene therapy approaches with vectors expressing the DKC1 gene may not constitute relevant strategies for the treatment of these patients. Strikingly, a small peptide of dyskerin-the GSE24.2 peptide-was shown to reactivate telomerase in X-DC cells.¹⁵⁰ The enhanced telomerase activity of GSE24.2-treated cells maintained the proliferation of these cells and decreased their oxidative stress, and also their DNA damage and senescence rate.¹⁵² A smaller version of GSE24.2-the GSE4 peptide-has been developed more recently, showing similar efficacy.¹⁵³ Recent preliminary studies have suggested that the expression of this peptide in human cord blood CD34⁺ cells may rescue the genetic defect in X-DC HSCs.¹⁵⁴

5 | GENE EDITING: AN EMERGING GENE THERAPY APPROACH IN HSCS

Gene editing has experienced a major breakthrough during the last few years mainly due to advances in the design of nucleases capable of generating double strand breaks (DSBs) in the DNA, and thus of promoting homologous directed repair (HDR) in specific loci of the cell genome. Three main types of nucleases: ZFNs, TALEN and CRISPR/Cas9 have been used to target human HSCs. The efficacy of these nucleases to specifically target any region of the genome, together with the rapid development of CRISPR/Cas9 nucleases have spread the application of gene editing to treat many different pathologies using a variety of approaches that include correction of specific mutations ¹⁵⁵, knock-in of therapeutic cDNAs into mutated loci ¹⁵⁶, insertion of therapeutic cassettes into safe harbor loci ¹⁵⁷, or inactivation of regulatory sequences inhibiting the expression of specific genes to compensate the loss of function of mutated genes^{158,159} (see schematic representations of different gene editing approaches in Figure 2). All these advances are rapidly moving gene editing into the clinic for the treatment of hematopoietic inherited diseases¹⁶⁰⁻¹⁶².

Different approaches have been explored to facilitate the delivery of nucleases and donor sequences into human HSCs. Electroporation, currently constitutes one of the most frequent methods used for the delivery of nucleases. On the other hand, transduction of HSCs with non-integrative viral vectors constitutes the most efficient method for the delivery of the donor constructs. ZFNs and integrase-defective lentiviral vectors (IDLVs) were successfully used for the editing of human HSCs.¹⁶³ Although gene-editing efficiencies were markedly reduced in primitive HSCs as compared to the bulk of CD34⁺ cells, this study opened the possibility of using gene editing strategies for the treatment of hematological disorders. The same study showed the feasibility of correcting BM HSCs from SCID-X1 patients by means of the insertion of exons 5 to 8 of *IL2RG* cDNA in the endogenous *IL2RG*

WILEY_

8



FIGURE 2 Illustration of different gene editing strategies. A, Gene correction: the mutation (red) is replaced by a wild-type sequence (blue). B, Insertion in *safe harbor* loci: an expression cassette is inserted in specific *safe harbor loci*. The therapeutic cassette includes a constitutive promoter, the therapeutic cDNA and a polyA (pA) sequence. C, Knock-in in homologous genes: the therapeutic cDNA is inserted in the mutated locus, together with a splicing acceptor (SA) and a polyA (pA) sequence. D, Non-homologous end joining (NHEJ)-based editing: generation of insertions and deletions (indels) in the targeted gene (eg, the generation of Indels in *BCL11A* allows the expression of fetal globins). β -globin locus is shown, where the expression of fetal globin genes (G γ and A γ) are regulated by BCL11A. Mutated β -globin gene is shown as a red box [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

gene. A more recent study has shown gene correction of SCID-X1 HSCs using a CRISPR-Cas9/AAV6-based strategy for the integration of the *IL2RG* cDNA into the endogenous start codon of this gene.¹⁶⁴ Additional studies confirmed the possibility of editing SCD HSCs by the use of ZFNs combined with IDLVs to deliver the therapeutic cDNA into the β -globin gene.¹⁶⁵ Our group also showed the phenotypic correction of HSPCs from FA patients through the specific integration of *FANCA* in the AAVS1 locus using a similar combination of ZFN mRNAs and therapeutic donor IDLVs.¹⁵⁷

More recently, the use of AAVs carrying donor sequences has been explored to facilitate the editing of primitive HSCs. AAVs are non-integrative vectors that can carry either a single or a double stranded DNA as donor templates for HDR. Among the different AAV serotypes, AAV6 is particularly efficient for transducing HSCs.^{166,167} Thus, AAV6 outperformed gene editing efficiencies as compared to IDLVs, facilitating efficacies of gene editing between 20% and 40% when combined with appropriately designed nucleases.^{156,168}

An important advance in the field of gene editing was the discovery of CRISPR/Cas9 nucleases and the observation that this nuclease system could be efficiently delivered in HSPCs by the use of ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complexes.¹⁶⁹ These RNPs have been implemented with the design of gRNAs aiming at increasing their stability,¹⁷⁰ thus achieving high HDR efficiencies in HSPCs.^{155,171} These new RNPs together with the use of AAV6 for the delivery of donor templates are thus approaching the field of gene editing to the treatment of patients with hematological disorders.^{156,172,173} To reduce the complexity of gene editing—for example, by avoiding handling of viral vectors—the co-delivery of nucleases together with ssODN donors has been used to correct specific mutations, including the SCD mutation in HSCs.^{155,165}

Since non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) is the most efficient mechanism for the repair of DSBs, particularly in non-dividing cells,^{174,175} this strategy has been used in the first FDA approved gene editing trials with autologous HSCs. This trial aims at the treatment of HIV infection using ZFNs cleaving the *CCR5* locus, that encodes a HIV receptor.¹⁷⁶ In the field of hemoglobinopathies, the knock-out of the *BCL11A* gene (Figure 2D)–a repressor of fetal globin¹⁷⁷–facilitated the reexpression of fetal globin in adults cells.¹⁷⁸ This strategy will soon be used in gene editing trials of β -thalassemia^{160,161} and SCD¹⁶².

Improvements in the gene-editing field are facilitating its implementation as gene therapy approaches to treat hematopoietic-inherited diseases. The most concerning issue of gene-editing technologies are the off-target effects caused by engineered nucleases. In most instances, only a few in silico predicted off-target sites are frequently analyzed, although deep off-target analyses will be required in clinical gene-editing trials. Different approaches, such as GUIDE-seq¹⁷⁹ or CIRCLE-seq¹⁸⁰ have been developed to facilitate the identification of off-targets in the human genome. Furthermore, different refinements in gene-editing strategies have been established to reduce the generation of off-targets, including paired Cas9 nickases,181 or highfidelity Cas9 nucleases.¹⁷²

6 | PERSPECTIVES OF HEMATOPOIETIC GENE THERAPY

in vivo gene editing of mutated cells.

As deduced from recent gene therapy trials conducted with selfinactivated RV and LVs, the clinical efficacy and safety associated to the use of these new vectors is now evident. As has happened with the approval of Strimvelis for the treatment of ADA-SCID patients, several new approvals of medicinal products based on the genetic correction of HSCs will appear in upcoming years. Discussions about the efficacy and safety of gene therapy are rapidly moving toward additional guestions related to the cost of these new therapies and the procedures that should be used to facilitate the spread of these new therapies to patients.¹⁸² Shall patients travel to specialized gene therapy institutions, or shall these new medicinal products be delivered under appropriate conditions to facilitate its application in local institutions? All these aspects are open questions that need to be carefully evaluated for the appropriate application of gene therapy in the clinic. Additionally, practical procedures should be developed to facilitate that these new therapies could be efficiently transferred from academic institutions to pharmaceutical and biotech companies capable of manufacturing these medicinal products at a large scale and under highly controlled manufacturing conditions.

While conventional viral gene therapy is becoming an established therapeutic option in different disorders, advanced gene therapies such as gene editing are rapidly emerging. Thus, new challenges will continuously appear, such as the necessity of limiting potential side effects related to the off-target activity of designed nucleases.

Based on advances achieved in the field of hematopoietic gene therapy there is no doubt that this new therapeutic modality will constitute part of the therapeutic arsenal for the treatment of complex and life-threatening diseases.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Studies of hematopoietic gene therapy at the Hematopoietic Gene Therapy Division of the CIEMAT are supported by grants from the "7th Framework Program European Commission (HEALTH-F5-2012-305421; EUROFANCOLEN)," "Ministerio de Sanidad, Servicios Sociales e Igualdad" (EC11/060 and EC11/550), "Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación" (SAF2015-68073-R, SAF2017-84248-P and SAF2017-86749-R), "Fondo de Investigaciones Sanitarias, Instituto de Salud Carlos III" (RD16/0011/0011 and RD12/0019/0023) and Rocket Pharmaceuticals, LTD. CIBERER is an initiative of the "Instituto de Salud Carlos III" and "Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional (FEDER)."

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The Hematopoietic Innovative Therapies Division at CIEMAT receives funding and has licensed therapeutic lentiviral vectors to Rocket Pharmaceuticals Inc. JAB and JCS are consultants for Rocket Pharmaceuticals Inc. Authors are inventors on patents on lentiviral vectors filled by CIEMAT, CIBERER and FJD and may be entitled to receive financial benefits from the licensing of such patents.

DATA ACCESSIBILITY

Does not apply.

ORCID

Oscar Quintana-Bustamante D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6869-7006

REFERENCES

- Doulatov S, Notta F, Laurenti E, Dick JE. Hematopoiesis: a human perspective. Cell Stem Cell. 2012;10(2):120-136.
- Hacein-Bey-Abina S, Le Deist F, Carlier F, et al. Sustained correction of X-linked severe combined immunodeficiency by ex vivo gene therapy. N Engl J Med. 2002;346(16):1185-1193.
- Gaspar HB, Parsley KL, Howe S, et al. Gene therapy of X-linked severe combined immunodeficiency by use of a pseudotyped gammaretroviral vector. *Lancet*. 2004;364(9452):2181-2187.
- Gaspar HB, Cooray S, Gilmour KC, et al. Long-term persistence of a polyclonal T cell repertoire after gene therapy for X-linked severe combined immunodeficiency. *Sci Transl Med.* 2011;3(97):97ra79.
- Aiuti A, Cattaneo F, Galimberti S, et al. Gene therapy for immunodeficiency due to adenosine deaminase deficiency. N Engl J Med. 2009; 360(5):447-458.
- Aiuti A, Slavin S, Aker M, et al. Correction of ADA-SCID by stem cell gene therapy combined with nonmyeloablative conditioning. *Science*. 2002;296(5577):2410-2413.
- Ott MG, Schmidt M, Schwarzwaelder K, et al. Correction of X-linked chronic granulomatous disease by gene therapy, augmented by insertional activation of MDS1-EVI1, PRDM16 or SETBP1. Nat Med. 2006;12(4):401-409.
- Boztug K, Schmidt M, Schwarzer A, et al. Stem-cell gene therapy for the Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome. N Engl J Med. 2010;363(20):1918-1927.
- Hacein-Bey-Abina S, von Kalle C, Schmidt M, et al. A serious adverse event after successful gene therapy for X-linked severe combined immunodeficiency. N Engl J Med. 2003;348(3):255-256.
- Hacein-Bey-Abina S, Von Kalle C, Schmidt M, et al. LMO2-associated clonal T cell proliferation in two patients after gene therapy for SCID-X1. *Science*. 2003;302(5644):415-419.
- Hacein-Bey-Abina S, Garrigue A, Wang GP, et al. Insertional oncogenesis in 4 patients after retrovirus-mediated gene therapy of SCID-X1. J Clin Invest. 2008;118(9):3132-3142.
- Howe SJ, Mansour MR, Schwarzwaelder K, et al. Insertional mutagenesis combined with acquired somatic mutations causes leukemogenesis following gene therapy of SCID-X1 patients. J Clin Invest. 2008;118(9):3143-3150.
- Stein S, Ott MG, Schultze-Strasser S, et al. Genomic instability and myelodysplasia with monosomy 7 consequent to EVI1 activation after gene therapy for chronic granulomatous disease. *Nat Med.* 2010;16(2):198-204.

¹⁰ WILEY GENETICS

- Picard C, Al-Herz W, Bousfiha A, et al. Primary immunodeficiency diseases: an update on the classification from the International Union of Immunological Societies Expert Committee for Primary Immunodeficiency 2015. J Clin Immunol. 2015;35(8):696-726.
- Mahlaoui N, Warnatz K, Jones A, Workman S, Cant A. Advances in the Care of Primary Immunodeficiencies (PIDs): from birth to adulthood. J Clin Immunol. 2017;37(5):452-460.
- 16. Cicalese MP, Aiuti A. Clinical applications of gene therapy for primary immunodeficiencies. *Hum Gene Ther.* 2015;26(4):210-219.
- 17. Chan B, Wara D, Bastian J, et al. Long-term efficacy of enzyme replacement therapy for adenosine deaminase (ADA)-deficient severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID). *Clin Immunol*. 2005;117 (2):133-143.
- Hassan A, Booth C, Brightwell A, et al. Outcome of hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for adenosine deaminase-deficient severe combined immunodeficiency. *Blood.* 2012;120(17):3615-3624; quiz 3626.
- Bach FH, Albertini RJ, Joo P, Anderson JL, Bortin MM. Bone-marrow transplantation in a patient with the Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome. *Lancet.* 1968;2(7583):1364-1366.
- Gatti RA, Meuwissen HJ, Allen HD, Hong R, Good RA. Immunological reconstitution of sex-linked lymphopenic immunological deficiency. *Lancet.* 1968;2(7583):1366-1369.
- Cavazzana-Calvo M, Hacein-Bey S, de Saint Basile G, et al. Gene therapy of human severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID)-X1 disease. *Science*. 2000;288(5466):669-672.
- Braun CJ, Boztug K, Paruzynski A, et al. Gene therapy for Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome--long-term efficacy and genotoxicity. *Sci Transl Med.* 2014;6(227):227ra233.
- Hacein-Bey-Abina S, Pai SY, Gaspar HB, et al. A modified gammaretrovirus vector for X-linked severe combined immunodeficiency. N Engl J Med. 2014;371(15):1407-1417.
- De Ravin SS, Wu X, Moir S, et al. Lentiviral hematopoietic stem cell gene therapy for X-linked severe combined immunodeficiency. *Sci Transl Med.* 2016;8(335):335ra357.
- Cicalese MP, Ferrua F, Castagnaro L, et al. Update on the safety and efficacy of retroviral gene therapy for immunodeficiency due to adenosine deaminase deficiency. *Blood*. 2016;128(1):45-54.
- Shaw KL, Garabedian E, Mishra S, et al. Clinical efficacy of genemodified stem cells in adenosine deaminase-deficient immunodeficiency. J Clin Invest. 2017;127(5):1689-1699.
- Gaspar HB, Cooray S, Gilmour KC, et al. Hematopoietic stem cell gene therapy for adenosine deaminase-deficient severe combined immunodeficiency leads to long-term immunological recovery and metabolic correction. *Sci Transl Med.* 2011;3(97): 97ra80.
- Kohn DB, Shaw KL, Garabedian E, et al. Gene therapy for adenosine Deaminase-deficient severe combined immunodeficiency (ADA SCID) with a Lentiviral vector. J Clin Immunol. 2018;38(3):427139.
- Aiuti A, Biasco L, Scaramuzza S, et al. Lentiviral hematopoietic stem cell gene therapy in patients with Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome. *Science*. 2013;341(6148):1233151.
- Hacein-Bey Abina S, Gaspar HB, Blondeau J, et al. Outcomes following gene therapy in patients with severe Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome. JAMA. 2015;313(15):1550-1563.
- Kang HJ, Bartholomae CC, Paruzynski A, et al. Retroviral gene therapy for X-linked chronic granulomatous disease: results from phase I/II trial. *Mol Ther.* 2011;19(11):2092-2101.
- Thrasher A. Gene therapy for X-linked chronic granulomatous disease. Abstract ESID. 2018;8:0108.
- Kohn DB, Booth C, Kang EM, et al. Gene therapy for X-linked chronic granulomatous disease. *Mol Ther*. 2018;26(551):157-158.
- Blaese RM, Culver KW, Miller AD, et al. T lymphocyte-directed gene therapy for ADA- SCID: initial trial results after 4 years. *Science*. 1995;270(5235):475-480.

- Sauer AV, Di Lorenzo B, Carriglio N, Aiuti A. Progress in gene therapy for primary immunodeficiencies using lentiviral vectors. *Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2014;14(6):527-534.
- Naldini L, Blomer U, Gallay P, et al. In vivo gene delivery and stable transduction of nondividing cells by a lentiviral vector. *Science*. 1996;272(5259):263-267.
- Zufferey R, Nagy D, Mandel RJ, Naldini L, Trono D. Multiply attenuated lentiviral vector achieves efficient gene delivery in vivo. *Nat Biotechnol.* 1997;15(9):871-875.
- Schambach A, Bohne J, Chandra S, et al. Equal potency of gammaretroviral and lentiviral SIN vectors for expression of O6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase in hematopoietic cells. *Mol Ther.* 2006;13(2):391-400.
- Schambach A, Mueller D, Galla M, et al. Overcoming promoter competition in packaging cells improves production of self-inactivating retroviral vectors. *Gene Ther.* 2006;13(21):1524-1533.
- Montini E, Cesana D, Schmidt M, et al. Hematopoietic stem cell gene transfer in a tumor-prone mouse model uncovers low genotoxicity of lentiviral vector integration. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2006;24(6):687-696.
- Modlich U, Bohne J, Schmidt M, et al. Cell-culture assays reveal the importance of retroviral vector design for insertional genotoxicity. *Blood*. 2006;108(8):2545-2553.
- Modlich U, Navarro S, Zychlinski D, et al. Insertional transformation of hematopoietic cells by self-inactivating lentiviral and gammaretroviral vectors. *Mol Ther*. 2009;17(11):1919-1928.
- Gonzalez-Murillo A, Lozano ML, Alvarez L, et al. Development of lentiviral vectors with optimized transcriptional activity for the gene therapy of patients with Fanconi anemia. *Hum Gene Ther.* 2010;21 (5):623-630.
- Hacein-Bey-Abina S, Hauer J, Lim A, et al. Efficacy of gene therapy for X-linked severe combined immunodeficiency. N Engl J Med. 2010;363(4):355-364.
- Zhou S, Mody D, DeRavin SS, et al. A self-inactivating lentiviral vector for SCID-X1 gene therapy that does not activate LMO2 expression in human T cells. *Blood*. 2010;116(6):900-908.
- Carbonaro DA, Zhang L, Jin X, et al. Preclinical demonstration of lentiviral vector-mediated correction of immunological and metabolic abnormalities in models of adenosine deaminase deficiency. *Mol Ther.* 2014;22(3):607-622.
- 47. Santilli G, Almarza E, Brendel C, et al. Biochemical correction of X-CGD by a novel chimeric promoter regulating high levels of transgene expression in myeloid cells. *Mol Ther.* 2011;19(1):122-132.
- Charrier S, Dupre L, Scaramuzza S, et al. Lentiviral vectors targeting WASp expression to hematopoietic cells, efficiently transduce and correct cells from WAS patients. *Gene Ther.* 2007;14(5):415-428.
- Marangoni F, Bosticardo M, Charrier S, et al. Evidence for long-term efficacy and safety of gene therapy for Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome in preclinical models. *Mol Ther*. 2009;17(6):1073-1082.
- 50. Thrasher AJ, Williams DA. Evolving gene therapy in primary immunodeficiency. *Mol Ther.* 2017;25(5):1132-1141.
- Malech HL, Hickstein DD. Genetics, biology and clinical management of myeloid cell primary immune deficiencies: chronic granulomatous disease and leukocyte adhesion deficiency. *Curr Opin Hematol.* 2007;14(1):29-36.
- 52. Harris ES, Weyrich AS, Zimmerman GA. Lessons from rare maladies: leukocyte adhesion deficiency syndromes. *Curr Opin Hematol*. 2013; 20(1):16-25.
- Anderson DC, Springer TA. Leukocyte adhesion deficiency: an inherited defect in the Mac-1, LFA-1, and p150,95 glycoproteins. *Annu Rev Med.* 1987;38:175-194.
- 54. Etzioni A. Defects in the leukocyte adhesion cascade. *Clin Rev Allergy Immunol.* 2010;38(1):54-60.
- Almarza Novoa E, Kasbekar S, Thrasher AJ, et al. Leukocyte adhesion deficiency-l: a comprehensive review of all published cases. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract*. 2018;6(4):1418-1420.e1410.

- 56. Bauer TR Jr, Hickstein DD. Gene therapy for leukocyte adhesion deficiency. *Curr Opin Mol Ther*. 2000;2(4):383-388.
- Leon-Rico D, Aldea M, Sanchez-Baltasar R, et al. Lentiviral vector mediated correction of a mouse model of leukocyte adhesion deficiency type I. *Hum Gene Ther*. 2016;27:668-678.
- Brendel C, Rothe M, Santilli G, et al. Non-clinical efficacy and safety studies on G1XCGD, a Lentiviral vector for ex vivo gene therapy of X-linked chronic granulomatous disease. *Hum Gene Ther Clin Dev.* 2018;29(2):69-79.
- Modell B, Darlison M. Global epidemiology of haemoglobin disorders and derived service indicators. *Bull World Health Organ.* 2008; 86(6):480-487.
- 60. Weatherall DJ. Genetic variation and susceptibility to infection: the red cell and malaria. *Br J Haematol*. 2008;141(3):276-286.
- McGann PT, Williams AM, Ellis G, et al. Prevalence of inherited blood disorders and associations with malaria and anemia in Malawian children. *Blood Adv.* 2018;2(21):3035-3044.
- 62. Gaziev J, Lucarelli G. Stem cell transplantation and gene therapy for hemoglobinopathies. *Curr Hematol Rep.* 2005;4(2):126-131.
- Mansilla-Soto J, Riviere I, Sadelain M. Genetic strategies for the treatment of sickle cell anaemia. Br J Haematol. 2011;154(6): 715-727.
- Persons DA. The challenge of obtaining therapeutic levels of genetically modified hematopoietic stem cells in beta-thalassemia patients. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 2010;1202:69-74.
- Cavazzana-Calvo M, Payen E, Negre O, et al. Transfusion independence and HMGA2 activation after gene therapy of human betathalassaemia. *Nature*. 2010;467(7313):318-322.
- Boulad F, Wang X, Qu J, et al. Safe mobilization of CD34+ cells in adults with beta-thalassemia and validation of effective globin gene transfer for clinical investigation. *Blood*. 2014;123(10):1483-1486.
- 67. May C, Rivella S, Callegari J, et al. Therapeutic haemoglobin synthesis in beta-thalassaemic mice expressing lentivirus-encoded human beta-globin. *Nature*. 2000;406(6791):82-86.
- Miccio A, Cesari R, Lotti F, et al. In vivo selection of genetically modified erythroblastic progenitors leads to long-term correction of bthalassemia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2008;105(30):10547-10552.
- Thompson AA, Walters MC, Kwiatkowski J, et al. Gene therapy in patients with transfusion-dependent beta-thalassemia. N Engl J Med. 2018;378(16):1479-1493.
- 70. Cavazzana M, Mavilio F. Gene therapy for hemoglobinopathies. Hum Gene Ther. 2018;29(10):1106-1113.
- Locatelli F, Walters MC, Kwiatkowski JL, et al. Lentiglobin gene therapy for patients with transfusion-dependent β-thalassemia (TDT): results from the phase 3 Northstar-2 and Northstar-3 studies. *Blood*. 2018;132(suppl 1):1025-1025.
- 72. Marktel S, Scaramuzza S, Cicalese MP, et al. Intrabone hematopoietic stem cell gene therapy for adult and pediatric patients affected by transfusion-dependent ss-thalassemia. *Nat Med.* 2019;25(2): 234-241.
- 73. Roselli EA, Mezzadra R, Frittoli MC, et al. Correction of betathalassemia major by gene transfer in haematopoietic progenitors of pediatric patients. *EMBO Mol Med.* 2010;2(8):315-328.
- 74. Ribeil JA, Hacein-Bey-Abina S, Payen E, et al. Gene therapy in a patient with sickle cell disease. N Engl J Med. 2017;376(9):848-855.
- Tisdale JF, Kanter J, Mapara MY, et al. Current results of Lentiglobin gene therapy in patients with severe sickle cell disease treated under a refined protocol in the phase 1 Hgb-206 study. *Blood.* 2018;132 (suppl 1):1026-1026.
- Romero Z, Urbinati F, Geiger S, et al. Beta-globin gene transfer to human bone marrow for sickle cell disease. J Clin Invest. 2013;123: 3317-3330.
- 77. Malik P, Grimley M, Quinn CT, et al. Gene therapy for sickle cell anemia using a modified gamma globin lentivirus vector and reduced

intensity conditioning transplant shows promising correction of the disease phenotype. *Blood*. 2018;132(suppl 1):1021-1021.

- Guda S, Brendel C, Renella R, et al. miRNA-embedded shRNAs for lineage-specific BCL11A knockdown and hemoglobin F induction. *Mol Ther*. 2015;23(9):1465-1474.
- Esrick EB, Brendel C, Manis JP, et al. Flipping the switch: initial results of genetic targeting of the Fetal to adult globin switch in sickle cell patients. *Blood*. 2018;132(suppl 1):1023-1023.
- Madigan C, Malik P. Pathophysiology and therapy for haemoglobinopathies. Part I: sickle cell disease. *Expert Rev Mol Med.* 2006;8(9):1-23.
- Perumbeti A, Higashimoto T, Urbinati F, et al. A novel human gamma-globin gene vector for genetic correction of sickle cell anemia in a humanized sickle mouse model: critical determinants for successful correction. *Blood*. 2009;114(6):1174-1185.
- Pawliuk R, Westerman KA, Fabry ME, et al. Correction of sickle cell disease in transgenic mouse models by gene therapy. *Science*. 2001; 294(5550):2368-2371.
- Levasseur DN, Ryan TM, Pawlik KM, Townes TM. Correction of a mouse model of sickle cell disease: lentiviral/antisickling beta-globin gene transduction of unmobilized, purified hematopoietic stem cells. *Blood*. 2003;102(13):4312-4319.
- Brendel C, Guda S, Renella R, et al. Lineage-specific BCL11A knockdown circumvents toxicities and reverses sickle phenotype. J Clin Invest. 2016;126(10):3868-3878.
- Garcia-Gomez M, Quintana-Bustamante O, Garcia-Bravo M, Navarro S, Gárate Z, Segovia JC. Gene Therapy for Erythroid Metabolic Inherited Diseases. IntechOpen; 2012. https://doi. org/10.5772/52531.
- Miwa S, Kanno H, FH. Concise review: pyruvate kinase deficiency: historical perspective and recent progress of molecular genetics. *Am J Hematol.* 1993;42(1):31-35.
- Zanella A, Bianchi P, Baronciani L, et al. Molecular characterization of PK-LR gene in pyruvate kinase-deficient Italian patients. *Blood*. 1997;89(10):3847-3852.
- Grace RF, Zanella A, Neufeld EJ, et al. Erythrocyte pyruvate kinase deficiency: 2015 status report. Am J Hematol. 2015;90(9):825-830.
- Meza NW, Alonso-Ferrero ME, Navarro S, et al. Rescue of pyruvate kinase deficiency in mice by gene therapy using the human isoenzyme. *Mol Ther*. 2009;17(12):2000-2009.
- Trobridge GD, Beard BC, Wu RA, Ironside C, Malik P, Kiem H-P. Stem cell selection in vivo using foamy vectors cures canine pyruvate kinase deficiency. *PLoS One.* 2012;7(9):e45173.
- Garcia-Gomez M, Calabria A, Garcia-Bravo M, et al. Safe and efficient gene therapy for pyruvate kinase deficiency. *Mol Ther.* 2016; 24(7):1187-1198.
- Pawliuk R, Bachelot T, Wise RJ, Mathews-Roth MM, Leboulch P. Long-term cure of the photosensitivity of murine erythropoietic protoporphyria by preselective gene therapy. *Nat Med.* 1999;5(7): 768-773.
- Fontanellas A, Mazurier F, Landry M, et al. Reversion of hepatobiliary alterations by bone marrow transplantation in a murine model of erythropoietic protoporphyria. *Hepatology*. 2000;32(1): 73-81.
- Fontanellas A, Mendez M, Mazurier F, et al. Successful therapeutic effect in a mouse model of erythropoietic protoporphyria by partial genetic correction and fluorescence-based selection of hematopoietic cells. *Gene Ther.* 2001;8(8):618-626.
- Richard E, Mendez M, Mazurier F, et al. Gene therapy of a mouse model of protoporphyria with a self-inactivating erythroid-specific lentiviral vector without preselection. *Mol Ther.* 2001;4(4):331-338.
- Elmahdi S, Kojima S. Chapter 21 bone marrow failure syndromes in children. In: Aljurf MD, Gluckman E, Dufour C, eds. Congenital and Acquired Bone Marrow Failure; Elsevier; 2017. https://doi. org/10.1016/B978-0-12-804152-9.00021-X.

-WILEY-

- 97. Alter BP. Inherited bone marrow failure syndromes: considerations pre- and posttransplant. *Blood*. 2017;130(21):2257-2264.
- 98. Bagby G. Recent advances in understanding hematopoiesis in Fanconi Anemia. *F1000Res*. 2018;7:105.
- Kottemann MC, Smogorzewska A. Fanconi anaemia and the repair of Watson and Crick DNA crosslinks. *Nature*. 2013;493(7432): 356-363.
- Kapelushnik J, Or R, Slavin S, Nagler A. A fludarabine-based protocol for bone marrow transplantation in Fanconi's anemia. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 1997;20(12):1109-1110.
- 101. Locatelli F, Zecca M, Pession A, et al. The outcome of children with Fanconi anemia given hematopoietic stem cell transplantation and the influence of fludarabine in the conditioning regimen: a report from the Italian pediatric group. *Haematologica*. 2007;92(10):1381-1388.
- Rosenberg PS, Socie G, Alter BP, Gluckman E. Risk of head and neck squamous cell cancer and death in patients with Fanconi anemia who did and did not receive transplants. *Blood.* 2005;105(1):67-73.
- 103. Masserot C, Peffault de Latour R, Rocha V, et al. Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma in 13 patients with Fanconi anemia after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Cancer.* 2008;113(12): 3315-3322.
- Alter BP, Giri N, Savage SA, Rosenberg PS. Cancer in the National Cancer Institute inherited bone marrow failure syndrome cohort after fifteen years of follow-up. *Haematologica*. 2018;103(1):30-39.
- 105. Ceccaldi R, Parmar K, Mouly E, et al. Bone marrow failure in Fanconi anemia is triggered by an exacerbated p53/p21 DNA damage response that impairs hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells. *Cell Stem Cell*. 2012;11(1):36-49.
- 106. Kelly PF, Radtke S, Kalle C, et al. Stem cell collection and gene transfer in fanconi anemia. *Mol Ther*. 2007;15(1):211-219.
- Croop JM, Cooper R, Fernandez C, et al. Mobilization and collection of peripheral blood CD34+ cells from patients with Fanconi anemia. *Blood*. 2001;98(10):2917-2921.
- Larghero J, Marolleau JP, Soulier J, et al. Hematopoietic progenitor cell harvest and functionality in Fanconi anemia patients. *Blood*. 2002;100(8):3051.
- Waisfisz Q, Morgan NV, Savino M, et al. Spontaneous functional correction of homozygous fanconi anaemia alleles reveals novel mechanistic basis for reverse mosaicism. *Nat Genet*. 1999;22(4): 379-383.
- Gregory JJ Jr, Wagner JE, Verlander PC, et al. Somatic mosaicism in Fanconi anemia: evidence of genotypic reversion in lymphohematopoietic stem cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2001;98 (5):2532-2537.
- 111. Gross M, Hanenberg H, Lobitz S, et al. Reverse mosaicism in Fanconi anemia: natural gene therapy via molecular self-correction. *Cytogenet Genome Res.* 2002;98(2–3):126-135.
- 112. Rio P, Navarro S, Guenechea G, et al. Engraftment and in vivo proliferation advantage of gene corrected mobilized CD34+ cells from Fanconi anemia patients. *Blood*. 2017;130(13):1535-1542.
- Gush KA, Fu KL, Grompe M, Walsh CE. Phenotypic correction of Fanconi anemia group C knockout mice. *Blood.* 2000;95(2):700-704.
- Noll M, Bateman RL, D'Andrea AD, Grompe M. Preclinical protocol for in vivo selection of hematopoietic stem cells corrected by gene therapy in Fanconi anemia group C. *Mol Ther.* 2001;3(1):14-23.
- 115. Rio P, Segovia JC, Hanenberg H, et al. In vitro phenotypic correction of hematopoietic progenitors from Fanconi anemia group a knockout mice. *Blood*. 2002;100(6):2032-2039.
- Haneline LS, Li X, Ciccone SL, et al. Retroviral-mediated expression of recombinant Fancc enhances the repopulating ability of Fancc -/- hematopoietic stem cells and decreases the risk of clonal evolution. *Blood*. 2003;101(4):1299-1307.
- 117. Jacome A, Navarro S, Casado JA, et al. A simplified approach to improve the efficiency and safety of ex vivo hematopoietic gene

therapy in Fanconi anemia patients. Hum Gene Ther. 2006;17(2): 245-250.

- 118. Liu JM, Kim S, Read EJ, et al. Engraftment of hematopoietic progenitor cells transduced with the Fanconi anemia group C gene (FANCC). *Hum Gene Ther*. 1999;10(14):2337-2346.
- Walsh CE, Fu K, Brecher M, Kirby S, Jacobs P, Yamada K. Retroviralmediated gene transfer for Fanconi anemia group a patients - a clinical trial. *Blood.* 2001;98(11):718a.
- 120. Rio P, Navarro S, Bueren JA. Advances in gene therapy for Fanconi Anemia. *Hum Gene Ther.* 2018;29(10):1114-1123.
- Adair JE, Sevilla J, Heredia CD, Becker PS, Kiem HP, Bueren J. Lessons learned from two decades of clinical trial experience in gene therapy for Fanconi Anemia. *Curr Gene Ther.* 2017;16(5):338-348.
- 122. Bueren JA, Navarro S, Wang W, et al. Advances in the gene therapy of patients with Fanconi Anemia. *Blood.* 2018;132(S1):1022.
- 123. Vlachos A, Ball S, Dahl N, et al. Diagnosing and treating Diamond Blackfan anaemia: results of an international clinical consensus conference. *Br J Haematol.* 2008;142(6):859-876.
- 124. Lipton JM, Ellis SR. Diamond-Blackfan anemia: diagnosis, treatment, and molecular pathogenesis. *Hematol Oncol Clin North Am.* 2009;23 (2):261-282.
- 125. Draptchinskaia N, Gustavsson P, Andersson B, et al. The gene encoding ribosomal protein S19 is mutated in Diamond-Blackfan anaemia. *Nat Genet*. 1999;21(2):169-175.
- 126. Da Costa L, O'Donohue MF, van Dooijeweert B, et al. Molecular approaches to diagnose Diamond-Blackfan anemia: the EuroDBA experience. *Eur J Med Genet*. 2018;61(11):664-673.
- 127. Sankaran VG, Ghazvinian R, Do R, et al. Exome sequencing identifies GATA1 mutations resulting in Diamond-Blackfan anemia. *J Clin Invest*. 2012;122(7):2439-2443.
- Klar J, Khalfallah A, Arzoo PS, Gazda HT, Dahl N. Recurrent GATA1 mutations in Diamond-Blackfan anaemia. Br J Haematol. 2014;166 (6):949-951.
- Parrella S, Aspesi A, Quarello P, et al. Loss of GATA-1 full length as a cause of Diamond-Blackfan anemia phenotype. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2014;61(7):1319-1321.
- Wegman-Ostrosky T, Savage SA. The genomics of inherited bone marrow failure: from mechanism to the clinic. Br J Haematol. 2017; 177(4):526-542.
- Jongmans MCJ, Diets IJ, Quarello P, Garelli E, Kuiper RP, Pfundt R. Somatic reversion events point towards RPL4 as a novel disease gene in a condition resembling Diamond-Blackfan Anemia. *Haematologica*. 2018;103:e607-e609.
- 132. Garelli E, Quarello P, Giorgio E, et al. Spontaneous remission in a Diamond-Blackfan anaemia patient due to a revertant uniparental disomy ablating a de novo RPS19 mutation. *Br J Haematol.* 2018; 185(5):994-998.
- 133. Hamaguchi I, Flygare J, Nishiura H, et al. Proliferation deficiency of multipotent hematopoietic progenitors in ribosomal protein S19 (RPS19)-deficient diamond-Blackfan anemia improves following RPS19 gene transfer. *Mol Ther.* 2003;7(5 pt 1):613-622.
- Flygare J, Olsson K, Richter J, Karlsson S. Gene therapy of Diamond Blackfan anemia CD34(+) cells leads to improved erythroid development and engraftment following transplantation. *Exp Hematol.* 2008; 36(11):1428-1435.
- Jaako P, Debnath S, Olsson K, et al. Gene therapy cures the anemia and lethal bone marrow failure in a mouse model of RPS19-deficient Diamond-Blackfan anemia. *Haematologica*. 2014;99(12):1792-1798.
- Debnath S, Jaako P, Siva K, et al. Lentiviral vectors with cellular promoters correct anemia and lethal bone marrow failure in a mouse model for Diamond-Blackfan Anemia. *Mol Ther.* 2017;25(8):1805-1814.
- Dokal I, Vulliamy T, Mason P, Bessler M. Clinical utility gene card for: Dyskeratosis congenita - update 2015. *Eur J Hum Genet*. 2015; 23(4):558.

- Tummala H, Walne A, Collopy L, et al. Poly(A)-specific ribonuclease deficiency impacts telomere biology and causes dyskeratosis congenita. J Clin Invest. 2015;125(5):2151-2160.
- 139. Burris AM, Ballew BJ, Kentosh JB, et al. Hoyeraal-Hreidarsson syndrome due to PARN mutations: fourteen years of follow-up. *Pediatr Neurol.* 2016;56:62-68 e61.
- 140. Savage SA, Dufour C. Classical inherited bone marrow failure syndromes with high risk for myelodysplastic syndrome and acute myelogenous leukemia. *Semin Hematol*. 2017;54(2):105-114.
- 141. Kirwan M, Dokal I. Dyskeratosis congenita: a genetic disorder of many faces. *Clin Genet*. 2008;73(2):103-112.
- 142. Dokal I. Dyskeratosis congenita. *Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program.* 2011;2011:480-486.
- 143. Heiss NS, Knight SW, Vulliamy TJ, et al. X-linked dyskeratosis congenita is caused by mutations in a highly conserved gene with putative nucleolar functions. *Nat Genet*. 1998;19(1):32-38.
- Mitchell JR, Wood E, Collins K. A telomerase component is defective in the human disease dyskeratosis congenita. *Nature*. 1999;402 (6761):551-555.
- 145. Meier UT. The many facets of H/ACA ribonucleoproteins. *Chromosoma*. 2005;114(1):1-14.
- 146. Barbaro P, Vedi A. Survival after hematopoietic stem cell transplant in patients with Dyskeratosis Congenita: systematic review of the literature. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2016;22(7):1152-1158.
- 147. Fioredda F, lacobelli S, Korthof ET, et al. Outcome of haematopoietic stem cell transplantation in dyskeratosis congenita. *Br J Haematol.* 2018;183:110-118.
- 148. Bar C, Povedano JM, Serrano R, et al. Telomerase gene therapy rescues telomere length, bone marrow aplasia and survival in mice with aplastic anemia. *Blood*. 2016;127:1770-1779.
- Wong JM, Collins K. Telomerase RNA level limits telomere maintenance in X-linked dyskeratosis congenita. *Genes Dev.* 2006;20(20): 2848-2858.
- Machado-Pinilla R, Sanchez-Perez I, Murguia JR, Sastre L, Perona R. A dyskerin motif reactivates telomerase activity in X-linked dyskeratosis congenita and in telomerase-deficient human cells. *Blood.* 2008;111(5):2606-2614.
- 151. Machado-Pinilla R, Carrillo J, Manguan-Garcia C, et al. Defects in mTR stability and telomerase activity produced by the Dkc1 A353V mutation in dyskeratosis congenita are rescued by a peptide from the dyskerin TruB domain. *Clin Transl Oncol.* 2012;14 (10):755-763.
- 152. Manguan-Garcia C, Pintado-Berninches L, Carrillo J, et al. Expression of the genetic suppressor element 24.2 (GSE24.2) decreases DNA damage and oxidative stress in X-linked dyskeratosis congenita cells. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(7):e101424.
- 153. larriccio L, Manguan-Garcia C, Pintado-Berninches L, et al. GSE4, a small dyskerin- and GSE24.2-related peptide, induces telomerase activity, cell proliferation and reduces DNA damage, oxidative stress and cell senescence in dyskerin mutant cells. *PLoS One.* 2015;10(11): e0142980.
- 154. Carrascoso-Rubio C, Zittersteijn HA, Pintado-Berninches L, et al. Generation and potential applications of a haematopoietic stem cell model of X-linked dyskeratosis congenita. *Hum Gene Ther*. 2017;28 (12):A47-A47.
- 155. DeWitt MA, Magis W, Bray NL, et al. Selection-free genome editing of the sickle mutation in human adult hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells. *Sci Transl Med.* 2016;8(360):360ra134.
- Dever DP, Bak RO, Reinisch A, et al. CRISPR/Cas9 beta-globin gene targeting in human haematopoietic stem cells. *Nature*. 2016;539 (7629):384-389.
- 157. Diez B, Genovese P, Roman-Rodriguez FJ, et al. Therapeutic gene editing in CD34(+) hematopoietic progenitors from Fanconi anemia patients. *EMBO Mol Med.* 2017;9(11):1574-1588.

- 158. Canver MC, Smith EC, Sher F, et al. BCL11A enhancer dissection by Cas9-mediated in situ saturating mutagenesis. *Nature*. 2015;527 (7577):192-197.
- 159. Martyn GE, Wienert B, Yang L, et al. Natural regulatory mutations elevate the fetal globin gene via disruption of BCL11A or ZBTB7A binding. *Nat Genet*. 2018;50(4):498-503.
- A Study to Assess the Safety, Tolerability, and Efficacy of ST-400 for Treatment of Transfusion-Dependent Beta-thalassemia (TDT). 2018. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03432364. Accessed May 21, 2019.
- 161. A Safety and Efficacy Study Evaluating CTX001 in Subjects With Transfusion-Dependent β-Thalassemia. 2018. https://clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT03655678.
- 162. A Safety and Efficacy Study Evaluating CTX001 in Subjects With Severe Sickle Cell Disease. 2018. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/ show/NCT03745287. Last Update Posted: June 12, 2019
- Genovese P, Schiroli G, Escobar G, et al. Targeted genome editing in human repopulating haematopoietic stem cells. *Nature*. 2014;510 (7504):235-240.
- Pavel-Dinu M, Wiebking V, Dejene BT, et al. Gene correction for SCID-X1 in long-term hematopoietic stem cells. *Nat Commun.* 1634; 10(1):2019.
- Hoban MD, Cost GJ, Mendel MC, et al. Correction of the sickle cell disease mutation in human hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells. *Blood.* 2015;125(17):2597-2604.
- 166. Song L, Kauss MA, Kopin E, et al. Optimizing the transduction efficiency of capsid-modified AAV6 serotype vectors in primary human hematopoietic stem cells in vitro and in a xenograft mouse model in vivo. *Cytotherapy*. 2013;15(8):986-998.
- 167. Song L, Li X, Jayandharan GR, et al. High-efficiency transduction of primary human hematopoietic stem cells and erythroid lineagerestricted expression by optimized AAV6 serotype vectors in vitro and in a murine xenograft model in vivo. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(3): e58757.
- De Ravin SS, Reik A, Liu PQ, et al. Targeted gene addition in human CD34(+) hematopoietic cells for correction of X-linked chronic granulomatous disease. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2016;34(4):424-429.
- Liang X, Potter J, Kumar S, et al. Rapid and highly efficient mammalian cell engineering via Cas9 protein transfection. J Biotechnol. 2015;208:44-53.
- 170. Hendel A, Bak RO, Clark JT, et al. Chemically modified guide RNAs enhance CRISPR-Cas genome editing in human primary cells. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2015;33(9):985-989.
- Gundry MC, Brunetti L, Lin A, et al. Highly efficient genome editing of murine and human hematopoietic progenitor cells by CRISPR/-Cas9. *Cell Rep.* 2016;17(5):1453-1461.
- 172. Vakulskas CA, Dever DP, Rettig GR, et al. A high-fidelity Cas9 mutant delivered as a ribonucleoprotein complex enables efficient gene editing in human hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells. *Nat Med.* 2018;24(8):1216-1224.
- Bak RO, Dever DP, Porteus MH. CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing in human hematopoietic stem cells. *Nat Protoc.* 2018;13(2):358-376.
- Mohrin M, Bourke E, Alexander D, et al. Hematopoietic stem cell quiescence promotes error-prone DNA repair and mutagenesis. *Cell Stem Cell*. 2010;7(2):174-185.
- 175. Beerman I, Seita J, Inlay MA, Weissman IL, Rossi DJ. Quiescent hematopoietic stem cells accumulate DNA damage during aging that is repaired upon entry into cell cycle. *Cell Stem Cell*. 2014;15(1): 37-50.
- 176. Safety Study of Zinc Finger Nuclease CCR5-modified Hematopoietic Stem/Progenitor Cells in HIV-1 Infected Patients. 2015. https:// clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02500849
- 177. Bauer DE, Kamran SC, Lessard S, et al. An erythroid enhancer of BCL11A subject to genetic variation determines fetal hemoglobin level. *Science*. 2013;342(6155):253-257.

WILEY

- 178. Wu Y, Zeng J, Roscoe BP, et al. Highly efficient therapeutic gene editing of human hematopoietic stem cells. *Nat Med.* 2019;25(5): 776-783.
- 179. Tsai SQ, Zheng Z, Nguyen NT, et al. GUIDE-seq enables genomewide profiling of off-target cleavage by CRISPR-Cas nucleases. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2015;33(2):187-197.
- Tsai SQ, Nguyen NT, Malagon-Lopez J, Topkar VV, Aryee MJ, Joung JK. CIRCLE-seq: a highly sensitive in vitro screen for genomewide CRISPR-Cas9 nuclease off-targets. *Nat Methods*. 2017;14(6): 607-614.
- Shen B, Zhang W, Zhang J, et al. Efficient genome modification by CRISPR-Cas9 nickase with minimal off-target effects. *Nat Methods*. 2014;11(4):399-402.
- 182. Salzman R, Cook F, Hunt T, et al. Addressing the value of gene therapy and enhancing patient access to transformative treatments. *Mol Ther.* 2018;26(12):2717-2726.

How to cite this article: Bueren JA, Quintana-Bustamante O, Almarza E, et al. Advances in the gene therapy of monogenic blood cell diseases. *Clin Genet*. 2019;1–14. <u>https://doi.org/10.</u> 1111/cge.13593